

Name:	Agenda Item Number or Non-Agenda Public Comment	Please use this portion to submit your written public comment.
Lane Sharman	Non-Agenda Public Comment	
Jeff Osborne	Item 16	<p>I just wanted to notify the board that the JVR energy park has not completed the CEQA process, has not produced a Final EIR, been recommended or approved by the planning commission or approved by the board of supervisors.</p> <p>The community that it will surround, Jacumba Hot Springs, is a poor and underserved community of San Diego County. It is also a designated Colonia. This proposed solar park surrounds the community on 3 sides and further diminishes the quality of life in the community. On top of not properly disclosing major impacts, such as the Heat Island Effect on the community, this project is in direct conflict with the Mountain Empire Subregional Plan and has major issues with its attempt to claim interim use designation with permanent installations such as a switchyard. This project will become a political quagmire for SDCP to be approving before the above named issues are resolved.</p> <p>I recommend the board postpone any purchase agreements until the project is approved.</p>
Morgan Stevens	Item 16	<p>I urge the Board to postpone any decision regarding a power purchase agreement with JVR Energy Park given that the project itself has not yet completed the process for final approval. Please put yourself in our position. Would you approve a project of this size in your backyard? This project will have a lasting and devastating effect on our community on many levels. If these companies can blatantly bowl over a community that adamantly opposes this project, what's to say your community will not be next? We must all be supportive of each other's rights to choose what we must live with in our own backyard.</p>
Katrina Westley	Item 16	<p>Dear Communities and SDCP,</p> <p>I find Agenda Item 16 JVR Renewable Power purchase agreement extremely concerning. The purchase agreement is putting the cart before the horse. How can JVR contract on a project which has not been approved? We have not received the final EIR, nor has the project been recommended or approved by the Planning Commission and/or Board of Supervisors.</p> <p>Our community adamantly opposes this project. That being said, JVR's actions are egregious and violate our community's due process of responsible rural development. The community of Jacumba Hot Springs has denied this project as it will have devastating and lasting effects on our community for generations.</p> <p>We would ask the board to postpone any purchase agreements until the project is approved.</p> <p>Thank you Katrina Westley Jacumba Resident</p>

Michael Firenze	Item 16	My name is Michael Firenze and I am Director with Webcor Builders in San Diego. My apologies for not being able to make the meeting. We are not involved in this project but wanted to show our strong support for the development on the grounds that it is a San Diego County located project, and shows a firm, tangible commitment to the San Diego based clean energy community that SDCP intends to procure and support local projects, labor and businesses. We urge SDCP to hasten its procurement of similar projects and unanimously support the execution of this PPA. Thank you.
Ariana Criste	Item 14	Dear Board of Directors, please formally oppose AB 1139. This bill will only benefit the investor-owned utilities, while harming families, schools, nonprofits and small businesses who adopted rooftop solar, and many good middle class jobs in the solar industry, including IBEW contractors and members. We should be expanding solar access to communities of concern and creating more good green jobs, not closing the door on local clean energy. SDCP must formally oppose AB 1139 if we want to have any chance to meet our climate, clean energy and equity goals. Thank you.
Tyson Siegele	Item 14	AB 1139 would crush the local solar industry and the best local clean energy option for schools, non-profits, businesses, and residents. Please take a position opposing AB 1139. Thank you.
Justin Hammond	Item 14	<p>Good evening Chair Mosca and Directors,</p> <p>I'd like to share a quote from Dr. Shalanda Baker, Deputy Director for Energy Justice at US DOE: "Rooftop solar isn't the insult, the insult is placing fossil fuel generation in communities of color, the insult is the disproportionate burden that low to moderate income homes are paying for electricity...That's the issue that utilities should be tackling, rather than trying to end rooftop solar, which actually lowers emissions if you think of it over a longer time horizon in the very same communities that they say they care about."</p> <p>Please keep in mind AB1139 isn't proposing to end the so-called "grandfathering" to lower rates for those who can't access solar - solar savings would be lost, even for schools, municipalities, families, community centers, nonprofits and small businesses in 12-30 year financing agreements while utilities could see greater profits at the expense of a just, livable future. Please vote to oppose AB1139 today! Thank you.</p>
Karina Gonzalez	Item 14	Good evening Chair Mosca and Directors, I would like to respectfully urge the board to vote to oppose Assembly Bill 1139. This bill will undoubtedly hurt the more than 216,200 solar customers including businesses, churches, schools and homes throughout San Diego, while taking away the hundreds of good paying solar jobs including local union installers. Killing the rooftop solar industry will make it nearly impossible for the state to reach its 100% clean energy goals, as the state has said that we need to triple the amount of rooftop solar in order to meet those goals. Please join the hundreds of climate and equity organizations across the state to stand up for clean energy and rooftop solar by opposing AB 1139.
Clint Fultz	Item 14	AB1139 would kill net energy metering, the policy that has allowed rooftop solar to continue to grow sustainably and become increasingly accessible to low and moderate income consumers. This bill disregards studies that show solar is a net benefit to all ratepayers. I oppose AB1139.

Bee Mittermiller	Item 14	Dear Board Directors, please formally oppose AB 1139. This bill will only benefit the investor-owned utilities, while harming families, schools, nonprofits and small businesses who adopted rooftop solar, and many good middle class jobs in the solar industry, including IBEW contractors and members. We should be expanding solar access to communities of concern and creating more good green jobs, not closing the door on local clean energy. SDCP must formally oppose AB 1139 if we want to have any chance to meet our climate, clean energy and equity goals. Thank you.
Joyce Lane	Item 14	Dear Directors, I urge you to formally oppose AB 1139. This bill benefits the investor-owned utilities. It harms families, schools, nonprofits and small businesses that adopted rooftop solar, and many good middle class jobs in the solar industry, including IBEW contractors and members. We should be expanding solar access to communities of concern and creating more good green jobs, not closing the door on local clean energy. SDCP must formally oppose AB 1139 if we want to have any chance to meet our climate, clean energy and equity goals. Thank you. Joyce Lane
Carla	Item 14	Dear Board Directors, Please formally oppose AB 1139. This bill will only benefit the investor-owned utilities, while harming families, schools, nonprofits and small businesses who adopted rooftop solar, and many good middle class jobs in the solar industry, including IBEW contractors and members. We should be expanding solar access to communities of concern and creating more good green jobs, not closing the door on local clean energy. SDCP must formally oppose AB 1139 if we want to have any chance to meet our climate, clean energy and equity goals. Thank you.
Craig D Rose	Item 14	Rooftop solar is an essential tool in our fight against the climate crisis. But instead of expanding the adoption of rooftop solar, AB 1139 would discourage many homeowners from going solar. I urge you to strongly oppose the climate-killing bill!
Alyssa Kane	Item 14	Dear Board Directors, please formally oppose AB 1139. This bill will only benefit the investor-owned utilities, while harming families, schools, nonprofits and small businesses who adopted rooftop solar, and many good middle class jobs in the solar industry, including IBEW contractors and members. We should be expanding solar access to communities of concern and creating more good green jobs, not closing the door on local clean energy. SDCP must formally oppose AB 1139 if we want to have any chance to meet our climate, clean energy and equity goals. Thank you.
Toshihiko Ishihara	Item 14	I request the board to formally oppose AB1139. AB1139 will kill the net metering that has encouraged and enabled a large number of residential solar installations in California. AB1139 is another attempt by the investor owned utilities and the elected officials who receive campaign contributions from those utilities, to discourage and hinder the rapid transition to 100% renewable electricity sources that we need to reduce the worst impacts of Climate Change. Without the net metering, the solar will lose its best appeal, and our fight against Climate Change will slow down, which will cause the communities of concern to bear more severe impacts of Climate Change.

ALEXANDRIA Abrams	Item 14	<p>Hello Board Directors, I urge you to formally oppose AB 1139. I believe that SDCP must formally oppose AB 1139 if we want to have any chance to meet our climate, clean energy and equity goals.</p> <p>If passed, this bill will only benefit the investor-owned utilities, while harming families, schools, nonprofits and small businesses who adopted rooftop solar, and many good middle class jobs in the solar industry, including IBEW contractors and members. We should be expanding solar access to communities of concern and creating more good green jobs, not closing the door on local clean energy.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
Jen Derks	Item 14	<p>I'm writing to comment as a citizen of La Mesa and a resident with rooftop solar. The community is watching bill AB1139 and we are wondering, will the right people stand up to this clear utility money play? I'm very proud to have SDCP forming in our region and in these early days of it's existence, it is imperative that SDCP formally appose AB1139 as it goes against the core mission of community power. I urge you to take a stance to protect rooftop solar.</p>
Steven Gelb	Item 14	<p>I urge you not to support this legislation on solar energy. It will cost jobs, harm efforts to mitigate climate change, hurt families, and benefit only utility companies in their relentless search to increase profits at the expense of the common good.</p>
Anna Webb	Item 14	<p>Dear Board Directors, please formally oppose AB 1139. This bill will only benefit the investor-owned utilities, while harming families, schools, nonprofits and small businesses who adopted rooftop solar, and many good middle class jobs in the solar industry, including IBEW contractors and members. We should be expanding solar access to communities of concern and creating more good green jobs, not closing the door on local clean energy. SDCP must formally oppose AB 1139 if we want to have any chance to meet our climate, clean energy and equity goals.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
Katharine Harrison	Item 14	<p>Please oppose AB 1139. Ultimately it supports the investor owned utilities, against the interests of the people of California. Net metering has allowed rooftop solar to continue to grow sustainably and become increasingly accessible to low-and-moderate income consumers. This is exactly what we need in this time of climate emergency. Instead of blocking rooftop solar, it has gotten so cheap we should be helping lower income folks and landlords buy panels. The premise on which this bill is founded is mistaken, that rooftop solar is the sole form of utility rate "cost shifting" and that killing it will prevent the investor-owned utilities from raising rates on low-and-middle income households. It actually fuels the investor-owned utilities' main justification for rate increases FOR ALL, namely new infrastructure to import desert solar. Please say NO, for the health of our planet.</p>
Greg Allen Curran	Item 15	<p>Please do no ruin our village of Jacumba with a solar park that is right next to our community when there is better suited places i.e. desolate desert land or on roof tops of existing buildings.</p>
Greg A Curran	Item 16	<p>How can there be a contract to sell power when the JVR project is not even approved yet?</p>
MATTHEW GREENBERG	Non-Agenda Public Comment	<p>How does the CCA electric rate make energy cheaper for it's Businesses? Thx</p>

Erika Morgan	Item 14	<p>Please vote to Oppose this Bill! The damage to SDCP's existing and future solar customers, especially the low- and middle-income customers, will be incalculable. As one example, I work with 40+ churches in SDGE service territory, most small, for which solar installations are economically challenging today. If AB 1139 passes, solar will no longer be an option for any of these churches, for many years to come. It would also penalize the 12 churches who have already installed solar, as these will lose significant savings if not go 'underwater' for their steps to address climate change. Finally, there is no long ANY 'equity' justification for this bill as amended. It is 100% an effort to kill rooftop solar in favor of utility-scale solar built in the desert. This would be a tragedy on so many levels. Please OPPOSE this bill.</p>
Erika Morgan	Item 12	<p>I heartily support the development of a Local Development Business Plan as a core item of SDCP's strategic plan or stand-alone item. The process for developing such a Plan should also center the engagement of constituents throughout the 5-cities, especially from frontline, LMI- and BIPOC communities. Thank you for prioritizing the development of local community-serving clean energy resources in SDCP's future growth and services.</p>
Tara Hammond	Item 14	<p>Chair Mosca & Directors,</p> <p>Please take a stand and oppose AB1139 today. Instead of discussing taking a big step backwards that sells out a just, livable future for increased utility profits, let's work on a bill that brings an apprenticeship program to communities of concern and diversifies the unionized solar jobs, protects rooftop solar customers, expands access to rooftop solar to renters and communities of concern, and gives RPS credits for rooftop solar. AB1139 would undermine the vision so many locals fought for for 10 years - a local CCE program with local rooftop solar projects and programs that incentives solar, storage, EV charging and more. This anti-bill would cause people to disconnect from the grid, increasing SDCP opt-out rates, lessening clean energy on the grid and leaving those who can't go solar with costly, assets & rate increases.</p> <p>I hope SDCP opposes AB1139 and becomes a leader that proudly stands up for San Diegans and climate justice. Thank you!</p>
Jason Anderson	Item 13	<p>On behalf of Cleantech San Diego, I would like to express our support for the proposed amendments to SDCP's NEM policy. These updates will ensure that SDCP continues to support the development of rooftop solar throughout its service territory.</p>